

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

7001110	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/031,398	01/18/2002	Mami Ojima	2002-0041 A	2307		
J1J .	7590 04/23/2003 FH LIND & PONAC!	EXAMINER				
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			POWERS, FIONA			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1626 DATE MAILED: 04/23/200	$\zeta$		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	_	10/031,398	l	OJIMA ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Firm T Downers		1626	
	he MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover	r sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress
THE MA  - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply earned p	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION as of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. and for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rich for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stay or received by the Office later than three months after the matent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory mind will apply and will expire	nimum of thirty (30) da	ys will be considered timent the mailing date of this	ely. communication.
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•			
,—	a. 2h\⊠	This action is non-	final.		
3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	event for	formal matters.	prosecution as to , 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
Disposition	n <b>of Claims</b> Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 18</u> is/are pending in the	e application.			
4)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>1-15 and 16</u> is/are pending in the a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from conside	eration.		
		idia			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ (	Claim(s) 1-15 and 18 is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 🤇	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and a clostion requi	irement.		
8)□ (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requi			
Application	on Papers	miner			
9)∐ T	The specification is objected to by the Exacther in the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or h) obi	ected to by the E	xaminer.	
	t the term a bioption	to the arawinass be	Held III aboyanse		i(a).
	Applicant may not request that any objection The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)∏ appr	oved b)⊡ disap	proved by the Exa	aminer.
11)□ T	The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office	action.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	he Fxaminer.			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by t				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	forcian priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	loreign phonty and			
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	anta have heen l	received.		
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority doc</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority doc</li> </ol>	uments have been	received in App	lication No	_•
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	ments have been	te have been re	ceived in this Nat	ional Stage
*	Certified copies of the priority doc     S. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation     See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certifie	ed copies not re	ceived.	
1		lomestic priority und	ier 35 0.3.0. 8	115(5) (15 5 5	sional application,
15)	a)	domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §	3 120 and/or 121	•
Attachme	ent(s)		C Jakan dow Su	mman/ (PTO-413) Pa	per No(s)
1) 💹 Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948)	4)  Interview Su 5)  Notice of Inf 6)  Other:	ormal Patent Applicat	ion (PTO-152)
3) 🔼 Info	Ullianon Disclosure Carenness (C)				Part of Paper No. 6

Art Unit: 1626

Receipt is acknowledged of the disclosure statements filed January 18, 2002 and January 29, 2003 and the preliminary amendment filed January 18, 2002, which have been entered in the file.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 does not describe how to make a pharmaceutical composition. The term "administering" means how to give a pharmaceutical.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the

Art Unit: 1626

effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 to 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tamura et al. (WO 97/37688), cited.

The reference discloses the claimed agent having angiotensin II antagonistic activity which is used for the prevention of recurrence of cerebrovascular disorder and the claimed method for the prevention of recurrence of a cerebrovascular disorder. Note paragraphs 1, 4 (cerebral apoplexy and cerebral arteriosclerosis), 8 and 9 on pages 3 to 5.

Claims 1 to 4 and 10 to 14 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dowle et al. (US 5332831)
or Judd et al. (WO 94/11369) or Sekine et al. (Chemical
Abstracts, 127:39817, 1997) or Nagura et al. (Chemical
Abstracts, 125:25882, 1996) or Stier et al. (Chemical Abstracts, 119:62704, 1993), cited.

The references disclose the claimed agent having angiotensin II antagonistic activity which is used for the prevention of recurrence of cerebrovascular disorder and the claimed method for the prevention of recurrence of a cerebrovascular disorder. Note column 1, line 1 to column 2,

Art Unit: 1626

linwe 15, column 3, line 51 to column5, line 29, column 6, lines 25 to 35 (cerebrovascular insufficiency) and column 42, lines 52 to 43, line 10 of Dowle et al.; page 1, lines 1 to 25, page 2, lines 15 to 22 (cerebrovascular insufficiency) and page 19, line 24 to page 20, line 12 of Judd et al.; and the abstracts of Sekine (cerebral apoplexy), Nagura (cerebral circulation disorder) and Stier (stroke and cerebrovascular lesions).

Claims 1 to 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Coniglio et al. (US 6248729), cited.

The reference discloses the claimed agent having angiotensin II antagonistic activity which is used for the prevention of recurrence of cerebrovascular disorder (cerebral infarction) and the claimed method for the prevention of recurrence of a cerebrovascular disorder. Note column 1, lines13 to 19, column3, lines 36 to 42 and claim 18.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 1 to 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura et al. (WO 97/37688) and Hasegawa et al. (K. K. Nihon Rinshosha, 1993, Vol. 1, 505-508), cited by applicants.

#### Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Tamura et al. disclose a pharmaceutical composition containing a compound having angiotensin II antagonistic activity which is useful for the prevention of cerebrovascular disorders. Note paragraphs 1, 4 (cerebral apoplexy and cerebral arteriosclerosis), 8 and 9 on pages 3 to 5.

Hasegawa et al. describe neuropathic symptoms, dyskinesia, pathergasia, daily llife action disorders and the like as aftereffects of cerebrovascular diseases.

### Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The agent and methods disclosed by Tamura et al. differ from the claimed agent and methods in that the reference does not disclose the use of the agent for the ameliorating troubles following cerebrovascular disorder and for inhibiting progress thereof.

## Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the agents disclosed by Tamura et al. for the

Art Unit: 1626

ameloriation of the aftereffects disclosed by Hasegawa et al. since it is expected that agents which prevent cerebrovascular disorders would also be useful to treat the aftereffects.

Claims 1 to 4 and 10 to 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Dowle et al. (US 5332831) or

Judd et al. (WO 94/11369) or Sekine et al. (Chemical Abstracts,

127:39817, 1997) or Nagura et al. (Chemical Abstracts,

125:25882, 1996) or Stier et al. (Chemical Abstracts, 119:62704,

1993) and Hasegawa et al. (K. K. Nihon Rinshosha, 1993, Vol. 1,

505-508).

### Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Dowle et al., Judd et al., Sekine et al., Nagura et al. and Stier et al. disclose the claimed agent having angiotensin II antagonistic activity which is used for the prevention of recurrence of cerebrovascular disorder and the claimed method for the prevention of recurrence of a cerebrovascular disorder.

Note column 1, line 1 to column 2, linwe 15, column 3, line 51 to column5, line 29, column 6, lines 25 to 35 (cerebrovascular insufficiency) and column 42, lines 52 to 43, line 10 of Dowle et al.; page 1, lines 1 to 25, page 2, lines 15 to 22 (cerebrovascular insufficiency) and page 19, line 24 to page 20, line 12 of Judd et al.; and the abstracts of Sekine (cerebral

Art Unit: 1626

apoplexy), Nagura (cerebral circulation disorder) and Stier (stroke and cerebrovascular lesions).

Hasegawa et al. describes neuropathic symptoms, dyskinesia, pathergasia, daily llife action disorders and the like as aftereffects of cerebrovascular diseases.

# Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The agent and methods disclosed by Dowle et al., Judd et al., Sekine et al., Nagura et al. and Stier et al. differ from the claimed agent and methods in that the references do not disclose the use of the agent for the ameliorating troubles following cerebrovascular disorder and for inhibiting progress thereof.

# Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the agents disclosed by Tamura et al. for the ameloriation of the aftereffects disclosed by Hasegawa et al. since it is expected that agents which prevent cerebrovascular disorders would also be useful to treat the aftereffects.

Claims 1 to 7 and 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coniglio et al. (US 6248729).

Coniglio et al. disclose the claimed agent having angiotensin II antagonistic activity which is used for the

Art Unit: 1626

prevention of recurrence of cerebrovascular disorder (cerebral infarction) and the claimed method for the prevention of recurrence of a cerebrovascular disorder. Note column 1, lines13 to 19, column3, lines 36 to 42 and claim 18.

Hasegawa et al. describe neuropathic symptoms, dyskinesia, pathergasia, daily llife action disorders and the like as aftereffects of cerebrovascular diseases.

### Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The agent and methods disclosed by Coniglio et al. differ from the claimed agent and methods in that the reference does not disclose the use of the agent for the ameliorating troubles following cerebrovascular disorder and for inhibiting progress thereof.

## Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the agents disclosed by Tamura et al. for the ameloriation of the aftereffects disclosed by Hasegawa et al. since it is expected that agents which prevent cerebrovascular disorders would also be useful to treat the aftereffects.

No claim is allowed.

The references made of record and not relied upon show the state of the art.

Art Unit: 1626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 703-308-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 703-308-4537. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Tloub / Yower Fiona T. Powers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626

ftp April 21, 2003